

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.10927 of 2015

Date of decision: 14th August, 2015

Jassa Singh @ Jaswant Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Brar, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 15.06.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Ludhiana has been received whereby after recording statements of Deepak Singh, Jassa Singh @ Jaswant Singh, Kaka Singh, Ravi @ Ravinder Singh, Raju Bhatra @ Kulwinder Singh and Narinder Singh, who are complainant and accused in this case of version and cross-version, the Court has shown its satisfaction that

the compromise Ex.P1 has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the offences for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature and which has been duly acceded to by learned State counsel that there is no injury of such nature which could be a deterrent in effecting compromise, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences bringing about peace and amity between the two rival groups of the same village and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.9 dated 01.02.2011 registered at Police Station Daba, District Ludhiana under Sections 307/323/356/506/148/149 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 14, 2015
rps