

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.10896 of 2015
Date of Decision: 29.04.2015

TAMANNA ARORA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners as well as their family members at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon order dated 31.03.2015 passed in **CRM-M No.10331 of 2015** titled as **Manjit Kaur and anr v. State of Punjab and ors.**

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Varun Sharma, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let a copy of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the

course of the day.

In view of nature of allegation and prayer made in the petition, I am of the view that at this stage, respondents No.2 and 3 can be obligated to look into the grievance of the petitioners in view of representation (Annexure P-3) made by them.

Respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to have a fair look on the representation so made by the petitioners. They would be at liberty to adopt necessary mechanism of extracting truth by means of any fact-finding inquiry. On doing so, if the respondents consider that the grievance of the petitioners has some worth then appropriate orders in terms of necessary protection be passed subject to verification of their antecedent behaviour of any criminal activity.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 29, 2015

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE