

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. No. M 1078 of 2015

Date of decision: 28.01.2015

Lakhwinder Singh alias Bholu Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of bail in anticipation of arrest in FIR No. 37 dated 14.05.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 341, 324 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') (Section 326 IPC added later) registered in Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the present case is a case of version and cross version and in the cross version, father of the petitioner sustained injuries reproduced at pages 6 and 7 of the petition. It is further submitted that the grievous injury constituting offence under section 326 IPC has been attributed to the petitioner and the same is on *proximal phalanx* of left thumb. It is further argued that it is yet to be determined during trial as to who is aggressor. The petitioner is ready to

join investigation and co-operate throughout.

I have heard counsel for the petitioner and find no reason to accept his prayer for grant of bail in anticipation of arrest as custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required for progress of the investigation and weapon of offence is to be recovered from him.

Dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

28.01.2015
mohan