

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-10762 of 2015
Date of Decision: April 06, 2015

Raj Kumar Grover

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. B.S. Aulakh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J.(Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of order dated 21.11.2014 (Annexure P/1) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala and order dated 23.12.2014 (Annexure P/2) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala.

Brief facts of the case are that respondent no.2 filed an application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. for maintenance. Vide order dated 21.11.2014 (Annexure P/1), the trial Court directed the petitioner to pay interim maintenance @ Rs. 3,000/- per month to respondent no.2 from the date of filing of the petition and Rs.2000/- as litigation expenses. Against that order, petitioner preferred a revision, which has been dismissed vide

impugned order dated 23.12.2014 (Annexure P/2) by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala. Hence, the present petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

Admittedly, respondent no.2 is legally wedded wife of the petitioner. Minimum amount of interim maintenance has been awarded by the trial Court. Amount of interim maintenance granted by the trial Court i.e. Rs.3000/- per month, would mean Rs.100/- per day with which respondent no.2 would not only be required to take three meals, but would also have to meet the other basic requirements of daily life. It is very difficult even to survive on Rs.100/- per day.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

April 06, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge