

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10706-2015

Decided on : September 29, 2015

TEJINDER SINGH

.... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Jashanpreet, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in a case registered at the instance of Parminder Kaur alleging that the petitioner and his family members have treated her with cruelty and turned her out of matrimonial home.

The matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of Punjab and Haryana High Court but the parties could not arrive at any amicable settlement.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was working as a Driver at Dubai and had been sending money to the complainant by banker's cheque. He has paid more than Rs.4 lacs to the complainant as such the allegations of demand of dowry are patently wrong.

An attempt was made for re-conciliation by the petitioner but the complainant flatly refused to resume cohabitation.

Despite the fact that the complainant along with two minor children is present in the Court with an offer to join the petitioner in the matrimonial home unconditionally, petitioner does not appear to be interested to resume cohabitation. The Investigating Officer has submitted that gold ornaments and other articles are yet to be recovered.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that except jewellery items given by the mother of the petitioner, no other articles remain with the petitioner as the other articles had been taken away by the complainant.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of the case. Inhuman behaviour of the petitioner is apparent from the fact that he does not have any concern for the two minor children and the complainant. The recoveries are yet to be effected. The photocopy of the cheques placed on record are not sufficient enough to arrive at a conclusion that the petitioner has not treated the complainant with cruelty. There are allegations of misappropriation of jewellery items. No extra ordinary exceptional circumstances exists to grant the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(MMS BEDI)
JUDGE

September 29, 2015
rajneesh