

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CrI. Misc. No. M-10697 of 2015

Date of decision:10.04.2015

Meena

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present: Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Navita Singh, J. (Oral)

This petition has been moved by Meena under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking regular bail in case FIR No.446 dated 20.11.2014 registered under Sections 306 and 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar, Sonapat.

The allegations against the petitioner are that her daughter Madhu used to harass her husband Parmod who committed suicide on 20.11.2014 and left a suicide note stating that his wife was not loyal and he did not say anything out of shame. However, she called the police and got him arrested. It was written that on 26.11.2014 and on 15.11.2014, he had called the petitioner and Vikram that after death of Parmod, Madhu should not come to his house. He also made a recording on his own mobile as written therein.

The date 26.11.2014 written in the suicide note appears to be by mistake as Parmod had died on 20.11.2014.

State Counsel on instructions from ASI Puran Singh, Police Station Sadar, Sonapat, submits that the mobile phone after hearing the

conversation recorded therein was sealed. The conversation was not transcribed and kept in the police file. No reason for not doing so is given. Charge-sheet stands presented in Court but charges have not yet been drawn up. Madhu is also in custody.

Since trial Court is likely to take a long time and conversation on the mobile phone of the deceased is not available, bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

10.04.2015
renu

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE