

BHUMIKA BHATI
2015.05.28 17:22

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10677 of 2015
Date of decision: 27.05.2015**

Jamsida and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Fateh Deep Singh

Present: Ms. Vandana Sharma, Advocate
for petitioner No.2.

Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate along with
petitioner No.1.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Assistant AG, Haryana.

Fateh Deep Singh, J.(Oral)

Jamsida-petitioner No.1, who has come present in Court and is identified by her counsel Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate, Enrollment No.P-3339 of 2008 has made statement before the Court that she never entered into any wedlock/Nikah with Hakam Khan and in fact after the death of her husband Nasru S/o Isab, she was fraudulently induced by petitioner No.2-Hakam Khan (petitioner No.2) on the grounds that he would facilitate payment of compensation on account of death of her husband and for that her thumb impressions were obtained on various documents and as she is an illiterate and could not understand the writing or their purpose and had been brought by deceit to Chandigarh by said Hakam Khan (petitioner No.2) for the completion of formalities to enable her to get compensation and assured her payment of Rs.1,50,000/-. She has stated that she never

appeared or stated anything for Nikah purpose which is a fake one nor has been instrumental in filing of the present petition seeking protection from the Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

From the statement of petitioner No.1, its stand reflected that there has been misrepresentation to this Court and by concealment of material facts, orders from this Court have been procured apparently for a motivated purpose impels this Court to issue directions to Superintendent of Police, Mewat from where this dubious plan originated to register a case for such a fraudulent act as well as for fabrication of records and to ensure action against all persons associated with this marriage along with preparation of necessary documents in support of it, including filing of petition and to probe and also to explore angle of human trafficking as well. The Superintendent of Police is directed to submit his compliance report to this Court within 15 days.

In the light of what has come before this Court, the present petition stands dismissed with exemplary cost of Rs.50,000/- which shall be recovered from petitioner No.2.

27.05.2015
bhumika

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE