

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 4082 of 1995

DATE OF DECISION: 13.05.2015

Raj Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Counsel for the petitioner was neither present on the last date of hearing nor today.

The claim of the petitioner in the present petition is for issuing directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to promote the petitioner on the post of Apprentice Signaller from the date his juniors were promoted.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that the petitioner has already retired in the year 2005.

Since the petitioner has already retired in the year 2005 and no body is appearing for the petitioner, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter.

Dismissed in default.

May 13, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE