

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA  
2015.01.09 17:38  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document

CWP No.2350 of 1996  
Date of decision:08.01.2015

Ram Kishan and others

...Petitioners

**Versus**

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.A.S.Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr.Ashok Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.11.

**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for respondent No.11 as well as learned counsel for the State submit that since the petitioners joined the service in the year 1973, they would have retired from service after attaining the age of superannuation long back. They further submit that in view of the nature of controversy involved and also by afflux of time, no cause of action survives in the present writ petition and may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

However, since nobody is present on behalf of the petitioners, liberty is granted to them to get this writ petition revived, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**08.01.2015**  
**mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)**  
**JUDGE**