

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. **CRM-M-10482 of 2015 (O&M)**
Date of Decision : 30.07.2015

Jagdeep Singh @ NikkaPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

2. **CRM-M-11254 of 2015 (O&M)**

Hardeep Singh & anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Mr. Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gazi Mohd., DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankur Mailk, Advocate
for the complainant.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

CRM No. 23976 of 2015

Application is allowed and documents Annexure A-1
to A-4 are taken on record subject to just all exceptions.

CRM-M-10482 of 2015

This order will dispose of aforesaid two petitions as
the prayer in both the petitions is made under Section 438
Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 95 dated
14.07.2014 for offences under Sections 302, 324, 323, 148 and
149 of Indian Penal Code (IPC), registered at Police Station Lehra,
District Sangrur.

All the three petitioners in both the petitions were

summoned by learned trial Court by taking cognizance in terms of Section 193 Cr.P.C. after commitment of the case to Sessions Court. The petitioners were found innocent during course of the investigation. There are total eight accused in this case and out of them four are in custody and 5th accused person was found to be a juvenile and facing separate enquiry.

It is submitted that in terms of interim directions, petitioners have put in appearance before learned trial Court and furnished the bail bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court .

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Makhan Singh submits that the eye-witnesses have also been examined after petitioners having put in appearance before the trial Court.

In view of the above, the instant petitions are allowed and interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 01.04.2015 and 08.04.2015 in respective cases, is made absolute. The bail bonds furnished before the trial Court shall continue during pendency of the trial. Another condition imposed is that the petitioners shall not conduct in a way which may result in delaying the progress of trial.

July 30, 2015
jk

(R.P. NAGRATH)
JUDGE