

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No. 10450 of 2015
Date of decision: 06.05.2015

Anjali **-----Petitioner (s)**
V/s
Yashwinderjit Gupta and others **-----Respondent(s)**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate with
Mr. Aarti Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondents No. 1 and 2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Office report indicates that notice issued to respondent No.1 served through his father, whereas, notice issued to respondent No.2 has been served but, despite service, no one has come present on their behalf.

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to the learned trial Court i.e. Court of Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Patiala, in complaint case No. 4-T, titled as "*Anjali Vs. Yashwinderjit Gupta & Another*", under Section 12(1), 18, 19, 20 & 22 of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, for expeditious conclusion of the trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complaint was filed way back on 06.06.2012 and the trial in the case is going very slowly, as that respondent No.2 happens to be a senior practicing Advocate at District Courts, Patiala. Therefore, the petitioner is facing serious prejudice for this slow process.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case and more particularly when the complaint is pending since 06.06.2012, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court i.e. the Court of Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Patiala, to expedite the trial in the case preferably within a period of 09 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order.

May 06, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE