

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10447-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 09, 2015

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.Sumit Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pawan Gaur, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.I.S.Pabla, Advocate
for the informant.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI
Brij Pal Singh, Police Station, City, Panipat, District Panipat,
submits that despite the directions issued by this Court on
20.08.2015, the petitioner has failed to join the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that there
are serious allegations of breach of trust by the petitioner and

recoveries are to be effected, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be necessitated.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through the material available on record, this Court also finds that it is not a fit case where the petitioner can be extended the benefit of the anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

Interim directions issued vide orders dated 15.05.2015 and 20.08.2015 are hereby vacated.

October 09, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE