

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(305)

CRR No.753-2004

Decided on: September 09, 2015.

Lichma Devi

.... Petitioner

Versus

Ran Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Jitender Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajit Singh, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 4.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This is a revision petition preferred by Lichma Devi aggrieved by the acquittal order passed in favour of her husband and other respondents on 03.11.2003.

It has been informed that the husband-respondent No.1 has already expired.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, presses the petition qua the family members of Ran Singh, respondents No.2, 3 and 4.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that there are allegations of demand of dowry and entrustment of property against the family members.

With the assistance of learned counsel for the petitioner, I have gone through the record and the statements made before the Court.

Statement of complainant Lichma Devi, Het Ram her father and Gheesa Ram against respondents No. 2, 3 and 4 appear to be vague and uncertain. Their testimonies could have been used against deceased Ran

Singh for his conviction but in view of he having died, I do not find any ground to convert the order of acquittal qua the other respondents into the order of conviction by re-appreciation of evidence.

Finding no infirmity in the order of acquittal of respondents No. 2, 3 and 4, this petition is dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 09, 2015
harsha