

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-10426 of 2015  
Date of decision: 20.08.2015

Rashpinder Singh @ Happy

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.59, dated 15.08.2014, registered under Sections 302, 201, 34 IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa.

The learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the order dated 15.09.2014 (Annexure P-3) and states that the petitioner was made an approver in the present case and he was cited as a witness being approver in the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., furnished by the prosecution.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel does not dispute the assertion raised by learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that that on the information furnished by the petitioner, two accused namely

Sher Singh and Didar Singh have been arrested. The challan stands filed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Keeping in view the fact the petitioner stood as an approver in the present case, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.08.2015

*sumit.k*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**