

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1042-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 27.01.2015

Jatinder Singh @ Shenty

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Vijay Kumar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.23 dated 05.02.2014, registered under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code, (for short, 'the IPC') at Police Station Sadar Amritsar.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. No role has been ascribed to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on

ATUL SETHI
2015.01.27 16:38
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

instructions, submits that the petitioner is involved in one more case bearing FIR No.324 dated 25.09.2014, under Sections 307, 324 IPC, wherein, the petitioner has used the weapon stolen in the present FIR, i.e. 32 bore pistol, and attacked the police party. The said pistol was recovered from Lalit Kumar, co-accused, to whom it was sold by the present petitioner.

Heard.

Keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is warranted. In this view of the matter, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

27.01.2015
atulsehi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE