

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Rev. No.742 of 2004

Date of Decision: 07.01.2015

M/s Mann Electronics Anand Nagar, Patiala
through its proprietor Lakhvir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Present:- Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner was convicted by the trial Court on 20.03.2003 for offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. Thereafter, an appeal was filed against the said judgment and the same was also dismissed on 15.12.2003 by the Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala.

The petitioner, after loosing his case before the two Courts below, has filed the present revision petition.

During pendency of the petition, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. A copy of said compromise has been filed in

the Court today and the same is taken on record, which has duly been signed by the petitioner-Lakhvir Singh, who is proprietor of the firm as well as by the complainant, wherein, it has been mentioned that the compromise is without any pressure from either side and the purpose of compromise is to maintain peace and harmony. Complainant-respondent No.2 has no objection in quashing of the proceedings arising out of complaint No.32 dated 01.08.2001 and he is satisfied with the said compromise.

Petitioner as well as respondent No.2-complainant are present in the Court and have been identified by their respective counsel.

Respondent no.2-complainant has specifically stated that he has no objection even in acquittal of the petitioner as he is satisfied with the compromise effected between them and does not want to proceed with the proceedings initiated against the petitioner.

Since the dispute between the parties has been settled by way of compromise and the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act is compoundable and the complainant is satisfied with the compromise and now he has no grievance against the petitioner, the present revision petition is allowed, the judgment of conviction and order of sentence passed by the trial Court as well as by the lower Appellate Court are set aside and the petitioner is acquitted of the charge framed against him.

07.01.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE