

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10365 of 2015

Decided on : 13.05.2015

Trilok and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.Anil Kumar Saini Advocate for
Mr.Neeraj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms.Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.595, dated 27.10.2014 under Sections 323, 341, 506 and 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added lateron), registered against the petitioners at Police Station, Dadri Sadar, District Bhiwani (Annexure P/1) on the basis of compromise dated 23.03.2015 (Annexure P/2) alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

On 31.03.2015, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Prayer in this petition is for quashing the FIR No. 595, dated 27.10.2014(Annexure P-1), under Sections 323, 341, 506 and 34 of IPC (Section 325 IPC was added later on), registered at Police Station, Dadri Sadar, District Bhiwani, and further proceedings thereupon on the basis of compromise dated 23.03.2015 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 13.05.2015.

In the meantime, the parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their respective statements with regard to compromise/settlement on 10.04.2015. The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court is directed to submit a report

on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information as well:-

- (i) number of persons arrayed as accused in FIR.
- (ii) whether any accused is proclaimed offender.
- (iii) whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence..”

In compliance of the above order passed by this Court, the Civil Judge (Jr.Divn.) Charkhi-Dadri, has submitted a report dated 04.05.2015 vide which it is mentioned that parties have appeared before the trial Court and gave their statements without any pressure and with their free will in respect of compromise effected between them.

Learned State counsel has also admitted the factum of compromise effected between the parties. After perusing the statements of the parties and the report of Civil Judge (Jr.Divn.) Charkhi-Dadri, learned State counsel submits that he has no objection if the impugned FIR is quashed.

Heard.

The petitioners have been booked for having committed the offence punishable under Sections 323, 341, 506 and 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added lateron). They have now amicably effected the compromise with the complainant. The learned Civil Judge (Jr.Divn.) Charkhi-Dadri has recorded the statements of the parties with regard to compromise and the report has also been received in this regard. Therefore, continuation of the trial arising out of the impugned FIR would be a sheer abuse of the process of law because chances of conviction are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of the compromise effected between the private parties and the law laid down by Full Bench of this

Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab**

and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal), 1052, (P&H), this petition is accepted and FIR No.595, dated 27.10.2014 under Sections 323, 341, 506 and 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added lateron), registered against the petitioners at Police Station, Dadri Sadar, District Bhiwani (Annexure P/1) along with consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited with the Haryana State Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh, receipt of which shall be submitted in the registry of this Court within one month from today and the registry shall tag the same with the case file.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

13.05.2015
sd