

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Criminal Revision No.631 of 2004 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : 18.3.2015

D.Rama Raju

PETITIONER

VERSUS

V.V.Parshant and another

RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1. Whether reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms.Tanu Bedi, Advocate for the respondents.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

Since no one has appeared to argue the revision petition which is directed against an acquittal, I have perused the impugned judgment which is common to Cr.A.No.2157-SB of 2003 where an acquittal has been awarded to the appellant therein.

I do not find any reason to upset the acquittal awarded to the respondents in the revision for the reason that a perusal of the evidence in the entire matter indicates no role attributed to the respondents in either raising demands of dowry or causing harassment to the deceased.

Revision is dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

March 18, 2015