

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision:- May 14, 2015

1. CRM No.M-10274 of 2015

Bhushan Gupta

....Petitioner...

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent...

2. CRM No.M-11659 of 2015

Bachan Singh

....Petitioner...

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent...

3. CRM No.M-13142 of 2015

Gurbhinder Singh

....Petitioner...

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent....

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sat Paul Bedi, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-10274-2015).

Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM Nos. 11659 and 13142 of 2015).

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Affidavit of Sh. Parveen Kumar, PPS, Deputy

Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Bureau, EOW, Unit No. 3,

Jalandhar, in CRM-M-10274 of 2015 has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.

2. By this common order, this Court intends to dispose of three criminal petitions bearing CRM-M No.10274 of 2015, captioned as ***“Bhushan Gupta v. State of Punjab”***, CRM-M No. 11659 of 2015, captioned as ***“ Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab”*** and CRM-M No. 13142 of 2015, captioned as ***“Gurbhinder Singh v. State of Punjab”***.

2. These petitions are outcome of the same FIR No. 01, dated 11.02.2015, under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 13(1) D, 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Economic Offences Wing, District Ludhiana.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Niranjan Singh, has submitted that in compliance of different orders passed by this Court, petitioner(s) have joined the investigation and are no more required for further interrogation.

3. Taking into consideration all these aspects, order dated March 31, 2015 passed in CRM-M-10274-2015, order dated May 01, 2015, passed in 11659 of 2015 and order dated May 01, 2015 passed in CRM-M-13142 of 2015 are made absolute, subject to the following conditions prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

(i) that the petitioner(s) shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) that the petitioner(s) shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any

person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

- (iii) *that the petitioner(s) shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.*

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

May 14, 2015
sonika