

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-13053 of 2013

Date of Decision: 05.10.2015

Gurpreet Singh & others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No*

Present: Mr. Amit Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Kamal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sahil Arora, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Ranghi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is
for quashing of Complaint No.37/1 dated 19.02.2008 (Annexure P-
2) and the summoning order dated 14.03.2009 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that filing of the complaint is nothing but misuse of process of law and counterblast to the FIR No.150 dated 02.11.2007, under Sections 452, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Machhiwara (Annexure P-1), against the respondent-complainant.

He further states that the petitioners be allowed to withdraw the present petition, however, with the liberty to take all pleas as available to him before the trial Court. The petitioners No.4 and 5 are women and it being a complaint case, their personal appearance may be exempted.

The prayer made by counsel for the petitioners seems to be genuine. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, the petitioner Nos.4 and 5 are exempted from personal appearance unless there are reasons for the trial Court to compel their presence for which, the trial Court shall pass a specific order.

In view of the above, present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid observation.

[HARI PAL VERMA]
JUDGE

05.10.2015
sachin