

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-10260 of 2015
DECIDED ON : JULY 09, 2015

Prithipal Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CRM NO.M-7061 of 2015
DECIDED ON: JULY 09, 2015

Charanjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Harjeet Singh Savra, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-10260-2015) and
for respondents No.2 to 7 (in CRM-M-7061-2015).

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 7 (in CRM-M-10260-2015) and
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-7061-2015).

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

These are two separate petitions under Section 482 of the
Code of Criminal Procedure bearing CRM-M-10260-2015, titled as
Prithipal Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others and CRM-M-
7061-2015, titled as Charanjit Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and

others for quashing Rapat No.20, dated 18.11.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code in FIR No.201, dated 12.11.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, Police Station Kotwali, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala and FIR No.201, dated 12.11.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, Police Station Kotwali, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala, on the basis of compromise along-with all subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom. It is a version and cross-version case.

2. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that parties have compromised the dispute voluntarily, without any pressure, coercion or inducement. The same is genuine one. Even otherwise, matter involved in these proceedings is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in case reported as **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another; 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543**, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petitions stand allowed and Rapat No.20, dated 18.11.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code in FIR No.201, dated 12.11.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, Police Station Kotwali, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala and FIR No.201, dated 12.11.2014, under

Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, Police Station Kotwali, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kapurthala and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

JULY 09, 2015
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE