

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.16 of 1999 (O&M)
Date of decision:07.01.2015

Dev Raj Deewan

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

resent: Ms. Aarti, Advocate,
 for Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudeep Mahajan, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. There is a plea for an adjournment on behalf of the petitioner. The counsel for the respondents is present and ready. I decline a plea for adjournment since the case is old and it is posted to a specific date when the Bar is fully sensitized that the final hearing cases will be taken up on that day and no motion hearing cases will even be posted on such a day. There is no justification for an adjournment.

2. The writ petition is dismissed for default for non-prosecution with costs of ₹10,000/-.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

07.01.2015
sanjeev