

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10201 of 2015

Date of decision: September 02, 2015

Gurtej Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. G.P. Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.277 dated 09.12.2014, under Sections 416, 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kotwali Faridkot, District Faridkot.

Prosecution story, in brief, is that the petitioner entered into an agreement to sell of land measuring 21 Kanals 15 Marlas in favour of the complainant on 17.12.2011. Petitioner had received ₹14,00,000/- from the complainant and the sale-deed was to be executed by Gurpartap Singh directly in favour of the complainant. On the date fixed for execution of the sale-deed, complainant came to know that the person who was brought by petitioner as Gurpartap Singh was, in fact, an impersonator.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely involved in this case. In fact, there was delay of three years in lodging of the FIR.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by the counsel for the complainant, on the other hand has opposed the petition. Learned State counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is involved in three other criminal cases.

In the present case, allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature. Petitioner had received ₹14,00,000/- from the complainant on the pretext that he would get the sale-deed executed from the owner Gurpartap Singh in favour of complainant. However, on the date fixed for execution of the sale-deed, petitioner had brought some other person to impersonate as Gurpartap Singh.

Keeping in view the seriousness of allegations levelled against the petitioner, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

September 02, 2015
mahavir