

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-10168 of 2015
Date of Decision:-17.8.2015**

Sandeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Jasjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Desh Bandhu, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Tarun Vir S. Lehal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.76 dated 6.6.2014, under Sections 323, 324 and 341 read with Section 34 IPC (Section 506 IPC was added later on in the challan), registered at Police Station Sadar, Rupnagar (Annexure P-1) and all other proceedings consequent thereto on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 30.3.2015, this Court has directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their

statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to forward a report about the genuineness of the compromise to this Court.

In compliance of the order dated 30.3.2015, the parties have appeared before the learned Magistrate on 20.7.2015 for getting their statements recorded.

The report dated 22.7.2015 received from the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rupnagar, reveals that the compromise effected between the parties is of their free will and without any pressure.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 does not dispute the fact of compromise effected between the parties.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position, rather admit the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

Heard.

Since the parties have entered into a compromise and learned Magistrate has recorded their statements followed by his report, continuation of the trial arising out from the impugned FIR and consequential proceedings would be sheer abuse of the process of law since chances of the conviction are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of compromise effected between the parties and the law laid down by this Court in the case of ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 (P & H)***, this petition is accepted and FIR No.76 dated 6.6.2014, under Sections 323, 324 and 341 read with Section 34 IPC (Section 506 IPC was added later on in the challan), registered at Police Station Sadar, Rupnagar and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are

hereby quashed qua petitioners.

The petition is disposed of.

August 17, 2015
Vijay Asija

(*HARI PAL VERMA*)
JUDGE