

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 10156 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.04.2015

Raj Kumar

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Grewal, DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is in custody since 01.12.2014. It is submitted on his behalf that the offence was allegedly committed in 2009, whereas the FIR was registered at the fag end of 2014.

Counsel for the petitioner also submits that two prosecution witnesses have been examined so far and one of them happens to be the complainant. There are eighteen witnesses in all.

The offence is triable by the Magistrate and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude. Even otherwise, the complainant has been examined and, therefore, there is no question that the petitioner shall now make any effort to win him over.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

**(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE**

06.04.2015

Ramesh