

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-10147 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 01,2015**

Shingara Masih & Ors.

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and Ors

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioners are seeking directions to official respondent No.2 to protect thier life and liberty which is stated to be under imminent danger from respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that complaint (Annexure P-1) was made in this regard to respondent No.2, but no action has been taken thereupon. He submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the complaint within some stipulated time.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation (Annexure P-1) and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of three months.

**April 01, 2015
Jiten**

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**