

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.10131 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18th September, 2015

Suresh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1.
None for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 04.08.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rohtak has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Santosh and the accused Suresh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is genuine and has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, the fact that offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of heinous nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.11 dated 07.01.2015 registered at Police Station Sadar Rohtak under Sections 354/452 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 18, 2015
rps