

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-No. 10130 of 2015

Date of decision: 21.04.2015

Kuldeep Kumar

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Punjab

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, who has been booked for having committed the offence punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, in a case arising out of FIR No. 86, dated 30.07.2013, registered at Police Station, Phagwara City, District-Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no allegation against the petitioner in the FIR and, as such, he has not been named in the FIR. He further submits that it is during the investigation, the name of the petitioner has figured alleging therein that he has forged the documents which were presented before the Bank. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the similarly placed other co-accused have already been admitted to regular bail by this Court in CRM-M-No. 2757 of 2014 titled as 'Raj Gill Vs. The State of Punjab, CRM-M-No. 1272 of 2014 titled as 'Janak Nand Sharan Vs. The State of Punjab', CRM-M-No. 5213 of 2014, titled as ' Anil Goel @ Goyal Vs. State of Punjab' and CRM-M-No. 10377 of 2014, titled as 'Kuldip Singh Vs. State of Punjab'".

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that the petitioner is in custody since 03.11.2014 and all the offences are triable by the learned Magistrate.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Head Constable Mukhtiar Singh, does not dispute the aforesaid fact that other similarly placed co-accused have already been admitted to regular bail by this Court and challan had already been presented against the petitioner in Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the material available on record.

Considering the fact that the other co-accused have already been granted regular bail by this Court and challan had already been presented and also the fact that trial would take sufficient long time; the present petition is allowed. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail during the pendency of the trial of the present case subject to his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 5,00,000/- with two sureties in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Phagwara. The heavy surety has been ordered keeping in view the magnitude of the offences.

The observation made hereinabove are for the limited purpose for deciding the present application only.

April 21st, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE