

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-10066 of 2015 (O&M) (209)

Date of Decision : 14.05.2015

Chanan Singh Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S. Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Navita Singh, J. (Oral)

Crl. Misc. No.13501 of 2015

The application is made for permission to add Section 466 IPC in the headnote and in the prayer clause of the petition whereas the said offence was omitted due to oversight. In the FIR, however, the said offence is mentioned.

Application is allowed. Offence under Section 466 IPC be added in the headnote and prayer clause of the petition.

Crl. Misc. No. M-10066 of 2015

This petition has been moved by Chanan Singh under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking regular bail in case FIR No.207 dated 28.8.2014 registered under Sections 420/466/467/468/471/120-B IPC at Police Station Beas, Amritsar.

Petitioner is in custody since 4.9.2014. The offence is triable by Magistrate but it is submitted by counsel for the State that there are serious allegations against the petitioner. Accused Chanan Singh was the clerk of a counsel in the lower Court and he took the original agreement

from the file, the thumb impression of Jagtar Singh accused was imposed and document was put back in the file.

Counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that in view of provision of Section 195 IPC and 340 Cr.P.C, the FIR itself is not maintainable.

Charge-sheet has been filed in the Court but charges have not yet been drawn up. Trial is likely to take long time to conclude. Though the offence is serious in nature yet it is felt that prolonging the custody of the petitioner will not be of any avail. The petition is allowed. Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

14.05.2015
renu

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE