

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 18.02.2015

1) C.R.M-M No.101 of 2014(O&M)

Gurmeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

2) C.R.M-M No.31585 of 2014 (O&M)

Surinder Pal @ Tota

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

3) C.R.M-M No.37250 of 2014 (O&M)

Manpreet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

4) C.R.R No.2906 of 2014 (O&M)

Jagtar Singh @ Tari

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-101-2014).

Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-31585-2014).

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate
for Mr. Lovesh Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-37250-2014).

Mr. S.K. Passi, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRR-2906-2014).

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The three petitions bearing CRM-M-101, 31585 & 37250 of 2014 have been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. read with Section 167(2) Cr.P.C. for the grant of bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.38 dated 20.05.2013 registered under Sections 22, 61 & 85 of the NDPS Act at P.S. Jhunir, District Mansa; FIR No.24 dated 01.03.2014 registered under Sections 15, 61 & 85 of the NDPS Act at P.S. Adampur, District Jalandhar City and FIR No.31 dated 03.04.2014 registered under Sections 22, 61 & 85 of the N.D.P.S. Act at P.S. Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib respectively. A revision petition bearing CRR-2906-2014 has also been filed against the order dated 21.08.2014 passed by the Special Judge, Faridkot whereby bail application of the petitioner in case FIR No.20 dated 14.02.2014 registered under Sections 22, 61 and 85 of the NDPS Act at P.S. City Kotkapura

District Faridkot has been dismissed. All the cases are decided by the common order since common question of law is involved.

In all these four cases the petitioners have sought grant of bail under the N.D.P.S. Act on the ground that on the date when the period of 180 days was over, there was no order of extension.

Learned counsel for the petitioners have argued that the present case is covered by the facts of the cases titled as *Mohd. Dilshad vs. State of Union Territory, Chandigarh, CRM-M-38823-2012, decided on 18.02.2013* and *Hardev Singh @ Rebbo vs. State of Punjab, CRM-M-3387-2015, decided on 12.02.2015*.

Learned Additional Advocate General has fairly accepted that on the date when 180 days was over there was no order of extension in all these cases.

In the circumstances, the petitions are allowed in the same terms as in the decisions aforesaid. Let the petitioners be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Since the main cases have been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

February 18, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**