

CRM-M-10047-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10047-2015
Date of decision: 28.07.2015

Vikas Malhan and others

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Mehta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Thapar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

PARAMJEET SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been moved by the petitioners under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking quashing of case FIR No.104 dated 29.08.2014, registered at Police Station Sector 19, Panchkula, under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 28.07.2015, notice of motion was issued and parties were directed to appear before trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial

CRM-M-10047-2015

Court/Illaqa Magistrate was directed to send the report.

In compliance of order dated 30.03.2015, learned trial Court has submitted its report vide letter dated 20.04.2015 which indicates that parties appeared before it and got recorded their respective statements with regard to validity of compromise. As per the report, compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure or coercion from any corner. Learned counsel for the parties state that now, no dispute survives between the parties.

Consequently, in view of compromise (Annexure P-2) and keeping in view the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543, Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2014(2) R.C.R.(Criminal) 482* and Full Bench judgment of this Court in the case of *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation, especially when this case does not fall within the category of exceptional cases where this Court should not exercise its inherent jurisdictional power to quash the criminal proceedings, as held in **Gian Singh (supra)** and **Narinder Singh and others (supra)**. In the facts and circumstances of this case, it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of the criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between

CRM-M-10047-2015

the victim and wrongdoer and to secure the ends of justice, therefore, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end.

The present petition is allowed. FIR No.104 dated 29.08.2014, registered at Police Station Sector 19, Panchkula, under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, is hereby quashed, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2), and all the criminal proceedings arising out of the said FIR also stand quashed.

It is, however, made clear that if the proceedings already stand concluded and conviction recorded in the present case, this order shall be treated non est and, thus, will have no bearing on the conviction and sentence order.

28.07.2015
parveen kumar

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE