

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No. 10 of 2015

Decided On : January 02, 2015

Sunaina and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

U.T. of Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

PRESENT:- Mr. Puneet Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for the issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents not to harass the petitioners at the instance of the private respondents No. 5 to 7 and their other relatives.

Respondent No.5 is stated to be the mother of the petitioner No.1 whereas respondents No. 6 and 7 are stated to be the maternal uncles.

It is submitted that petitioner No.1 has already submitted a Representation (Annexure P-2), dated 29.12.2014 before respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh and has sought protection to her life and personal liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

Without expressing any opinion in the controversy and the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to look into the matter and issue appropriate direction within one month and ensure that no harm is caused to the life and personal liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

With the aforesaid observation, the present petition is disposed of.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

January 02, 2015
Anjal