

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2817 of 1995 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.04.2015

Din Dayal Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, this Court had ordered the Registry to intimate to Mr. R.P. Bali, learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the Office Report, Mr. R.P. Bali, learned counsel for the petitioner has been informed but no one has put in appearance. Even the notice of this petition was issued to the petitioner but as per the office report notice has not been received back served or otherwise.

It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing this case.

Dismissed for non-prosecution with a liberty to the petitioner for revival of the petition in case any cause survives in the present writ petition.

29.04.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**