

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. A-301-MA of 2015  
Date of Decision: August 13, 2015**

Baldev Singh

.....Applicant

Versus

Sukhdev Singh and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S.MANN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate  
for the applicant.

\*\*\*

**T.P.S.MANN, J.**

The complainant has filed the present application under Section 378 (4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment dated 5.12.2014, passed by the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridkot.

Vide impugned judgment, the trial Court acquitted the accused-respondents of the charges under Sections 420/467/468/471/506/34 IPC.

The case of the complainant, in nutshell, is that accused-Sukhdev Singh and Jagjit Singh, in connivance with accused-Jaswinder Singh, tampered with the revenue record i.e.,

the *jamabandi* for the year 2006-07 by getting his name deleted from the same. Further, on the basis of the changed revenue record, accused-Sukhdev Singh and Jagjit Singh, after mortgaging the land more than the share of Sukhdev Singh, had obtained loan from the bank.

Having heard learned counsel for the applicant and after perusing the impugned judgment, this Court finds that accused-Sukhdev Singh had share in the land to the tune of 34 Kanals 6 Marlas whereas he had pledged only 32 Kanals 4 Marlas of land. Further, as per the remarks made on 26.05.2009 in Column No. 12 of the *jamabandi* as to the 'fard badar', the defect had been removed. It is also on record that the loan raised by accused-Sukhdev Singh has since been returned to the bank.

In view of the above, no case is made out for any interference in the impugned judgment of acquittal. The application is without any merit and, accordingly, dismissed.

Leave to appeal is declined.

**( T.P.S. MANN )  
JUDGE**

**August 13, 2015**  
**amit rana**

**(GURMIT RAM)  
JUDGE**