

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.W.P. No.2681 OF 1995 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : 29.1.2015

Suresh Rani

PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Punjab and others

RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1. Whether reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Shri Nilesh Bhardwaj, D.A.G. Punjab.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The petitioner's claim in this petition is for regularization of his services.

The reply filed by the respondents indicates that the benefit has already been granted to her. There has been no representation on behalf of the petitioner even though the matter has been listed a number of times so much so that notice was also issued to the petitioner on 1.12.2014 in order to obviate any chance of miscarriage of justice. Service has duly been effected upon the petitioner but yet there has been representation on her behalf.

The petition is,therefore, dismissed in the given set of circumstances.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

January 29, 2015

GD