

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-A-28-MA-2014**

**Date of Decision: 09.01.2015**

**Satnam**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**.....Respondents**

**Coram:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**

**Present:** Mr. Ashok Kumar Sama, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

**M.M.S. Bedi, J. (Oral)**

This is an appeal against acquittal order dated 01.11.2013 passed by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jalalabad (West) in a private complaint which was filed by the appellant alleging that there has been a dispute between the parties and a suit for recovery was pending. The respondents No.2 to 5 had entered the complainant's house and exorted a sum of Rs.1,500/- and gold ornaments. The respondent Balvir Singh and Jamna Bai had allegedly manhandled the appellant, however he was saved by other family members.

The Judicial Magistrate after appreciation of evidence has granted benefit of doubt to the private respondents on the ground that: (i) earlier there had been enmity between the parties; (ii) there is no medical evidence available on the record in support of the allegations of injuries having been received by the complainant at the hands of the accused and (iii) the testimony of the complainant and other witnesses do not inspire confidence.

It is a case where all the family members have been roped in with oblique motive. After going through the judgment and the contents of the complaint, I am of the opinion that trial Court has given sufficient reasons for giving benefit of doubt to the private respondents. No ground is made out for interference in the appeal against acquittal. The leave to file appeal is, hereby, declined.

Dismissed.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)**  
**JUDGE**

January 09, 2015

*Naveen*