

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-A No.206-MA of 2014
Date of Decision : 09.01.2015**

Mandeep Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Varun @ Guddu

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is an application under Section 378(4) of the Cr.P.C. for the grant of special leave to appeal from the judgment of acquittal dated 07.11.2013 in a case under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act.

The allegations of the appellant-complainant were that he had given friendly loan to the respondent-accused and when he asked to refund the same, the respondent issued the cheque in question which bounced due to insufficiency of funds. The plea of the respondent was that he had no relation at all with the petitioner; that he had lost the cheque in question 2 years prior thereto and even he lodged the DDR in regard thereto and no

date or time or even year of friendly loan was mentioned.

The trial Court has considered these facts and come to the conclusion that the petitioner had not brought home the accusation he had made against the respondent.

I find no reason to take a different view to that taken by the trial Court. In the circumstances, the application for the grant of special leave to appeal is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

January 09, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**