

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

IOIN RSA No.2013 of 2002
Date of decision: 24.02.2015

Rajinder

...Appellant

Versus

Surta and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Anil Ghanghas, Advocate for the appellant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

On 16.12.2014, this court had passed the following order:

“As per office report dated 22.08.2013, notices could not be issued to respondents No.5 to 7 & 9 as counsel for the appellant did not furnish their correct addresses. Position remains the same even today.

Learned counsel for the appellant prays for a short accommodation to resort to necessary measures in this regard and is granted two weeks time to do the needful. Upon compliance, let notices be issued to the said respondents for 24.02.2015.”

Office report shows that notices could not be issued to respondents No.5 to 7 & 9, as the counsel did not furnish their correct addresses.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that repeated intimations to the appellant have gone unheeded and thus, the appeal be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Ordered, accordingly.

February 24, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge