

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 1540 of 1993 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.09.2015.

Smt. Shanti Devi and others

..... Appellants.

Versus

U.P. State Road Transport Corporation and another

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Ms. Neeru Bansal, Advocate, for
Mr. Hari Om Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the appellants submits that correct address of respondent No. 2 could not be filed as the appellants could not be contacted. She submits that despite best efforts contact could not be established with the appellants.

The appeal is of the year 1993 and the same is, therefore, dismissed for want of prosecution.

However, right of revival is given to the appellants in case they come forward and file the address of respondent No. 2 and also in case such a right survives to the appellants at that time as per law.

**(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE**

24.09.2015

Ramesh