

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
Crl. Misc. No.143-MA of 2015
Date of decision:13.07.2015

Gopal Sharma Petitioner
Versus
M/s Madan Process House & ors. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the applicant.

Navita Singh, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the applicant submits that at the time of filing the complaint, copies of complaint were filed alongwith. Also respondent No.2 being the proprietor of respondent No.1- firm, practically one copy was required to be filed.

It is stated that it may be that the copy got misplaced in the office and report was made by the Ahlmad that copy had not been filed. Since the complaint was dismissed as copy of complaint was not filed by the petitioner/ complainant, it is felt that the complainant should not be thrown out of Court for that reason alone.

The petition is allowed with direction to the applicant to file copy of complaint in the Court below by 4.8.2015 on which date he shall appear in the Court. However, the applicant shall also deposit an amount of Rs.1,000/- with the Haryana Legal Aid Services Authority, Faridabad which shall be a condition precedent for allowing him to file a copy and proceed with the complaint.

If the cost is paid and copy is filed on or before the date mentioned above, the complaint shall stand restored and trial Court shall proceed with the complaint as per law.

13.07.2015
renu

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE