

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SAILESH RANJAN
2015.07.06 09:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

RSA No. 848 of 1988
Date of decision: 03.07.2015

Lok Ram & others

....Appellant(s)

Versus

Ram Avtar and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate, for
Ms.Himani Sarin, Advocate, for the appellants.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Counsel submits that there are no fresh instructions inspite of the fact that Registered AD letter was sent to the plaintiff-appellants.

Accordingly, keeping in view the fact that the dispute was regarding the possession of a religious place, it is apparent that the parties would have settled *inter se* and accepted the decision. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. However, liberty is granted to revive the appeal, within a reasonable period, in case the lis still subsists.

03.07.2015
sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE