

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.01.20 12:30
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM No. M-1176 of 2012 (O/M)

Date of decision : 15.1.2015

Shyama and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, Assistant A.G. Haryana,
for respondent No. 1-State.

Mr. Sandeep S. Ghangas, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 21.7.2011 (Annexure-P-1), passed by the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Samalkha, vide which proceedings under Section 145 Cr.P.C. were dropped. Challenge is also made to the order dated 19.11.2011 (Annexure-P-3), passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, affirming the order passed by the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Samalkha.

None is present on behalf of the petitioners. However, the learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 has filed the copy

of the judgment dated 9.12.2014, passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Panipat, showing that the suit filed by the father of respondent No. 2 for permanent injunction has been decreed. However, there are concurrent findings of the two Courts below. The order of the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Samalkha, dated 21.7.2011 (Annexure-P-1) was challenged in the revision before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, and the same was dismissed, vide order dated 19.11.2011 (Annexure-P-3). Under the cover of Section 482 Cr.P.C, the second revision does not lie.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.1.2015
sjks