

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

RSA No. 1827 of 1988. [O&M]
Date of Decision: 11th March, 2015.

Sajjan Singh

Appellant through
None.

Versus
Pritam Singh @ Harnek Singh

Respondents through
Mr. G.S.Punia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. P.S.Punia, Advocate.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

Learned counsel for the respondents states that despite their best efforts, the parties have not come forward to give instructions and as per the previous information, it appears that the parties had amicably settled the dispute.

In this view of the matter, the instant appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. Registry to send a copy of this order to the appellant at the given address, who shall be at liberty to seek revival of the appeal, if need be, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of communication.

March 11, 2015.
dinesh

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE