

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Regular Second Appeal No.1811 of 1988(O&M)

Date of Decision: December 01, 2015

Narinder Kumar and anotherAppellants

versus

Smt.Parveen Kumari and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

Present: Ms.Madhu Dayal, Advocate, for the appellants.

-.-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*

3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants states that this appeal has been rendered infructuous for the reason that the respondent-tenants have purchased the subject-property.

In this view of the matter, the appeal is dismissed as infructuous.

December 01, 2015

mohinder

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE