

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6166 of 1994 (O&M)
Date of decision: 7.1.2015

Satya Kumar Gupta

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Som Nath Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL, J

The present writ petition was filed challenging the order of suspension. While admitting the writ petition, the order of suspension was stayed, however, enquiry was permitted to be continued. Considering the aforesaid fact, prayer made in the present petition must have been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even communication sent by him to the petitioner has not been responded to.

Dismissed as infructuous.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

7.1.2015

sharmila