

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

CWP No. 17248 of 1995. [O&M]
Date of Decision:03rd March, 2015.

Satish Kumar Jain & Ors. Petitioners through None.
Versus
State of Punjab & Anr. Respondents through
Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Addl. AG, Punjab.

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

The instant writ petition lays challenge to an Ordinance whereby Muktsar was notified as revenue District in the State of Punjab under the provisions of the Punjab Land Revenue Act. The petitioners consequently challenge the *vires* of Section 5 of the Punjab Land Revenue Act as well.

The above-stated Ordinance was issued on 07th November, 1995 and by now it must have been replaced by a piece of Legislation which is not under challenge as the writ petition has not been amended. We draw such an inference by taking judicial notice of the fact that Sri Muktsar Sahib continues to be a District Headquarters as well as an independent Sessions Division. The power of the State to carve out a new revenue District in public interest has been upheld by a Full Bench of this Court in **District Bar Association, Kurukshetra & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors., AIR 1997 P&H, 231.**

The petitioners also appear to have lost interest in due course of time. In a way, the petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly. Dasti.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**March 03, 2015.
dinesh**

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**