

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.01.22 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No.13396 of 2000
Date of decision:21.01.2015

Chander Pal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Sanjiv Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Sanjiv Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State submits that in view of the nature of controversy involved and also by afflux of time, cause of action does not survive any further and the present writ petition may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioners also fairly states that let instant writ petition be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State. However, since he is not having latest instructions from the petitioners, liberty may be granted to the petitioners to get the present petition revived, if necessity arises.

Ordered accordingly.

21.01.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE