

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

VARINDER SINGH
2015.01.12 10:12
Attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court at
Chandigarh

CWP No. 7932 of 1993 (O&M)

Bhim Singh

.. Petitioner

vs

The State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The petitioner approached this Court with a prayer that after setting aside of his dismissal order, he was entitled to all consequential benefits.

Reply of the State is not on record. A copy furnished by learned counsel for the State in Court, is taken on record. Learned counsel for the State submitted that it has been stated in para 4-A of the reply that the period of absence was treated to be on duty for all intents and purposes and accordingly the arrears of salary were paid to the petitioner as per rules. In para 11 thereof, it is stated that the petitioner having not qualified the Lower School Course to bring his name on promotion List C-1 as per Rules 13.7 of the Punjab Police Rules Volume II, he could not be granted the promotion. Otherwise also, he was not eligible to attend the Lower School Course as even on the date of his dismissal from service in the year 1979, he was over age. The petitioner was considered and promoted as Head Constable (UGC) in August, 1992. As per the Rules, he did not have any right for further promotion.

Considering the aforesaid stand in the reply, prima facie, there is no merit in the present petition, however, as none appeared for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

8.1.2015

vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge