

357/A

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10886-2010
Date of decision:21.10.2015

Tarun Sadana

...Petitioner

Versus

Ram Gopal and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Anand Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for the UT Chandigarh.
Mr.Ajit Sihag, Advocate for
Mr.L.N.Verma, Advocate for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent(s) submits that during the pendency of the present petition, parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. He further submits that in this view of the matter, the present petition does not survive and the same may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

The above-said statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent(s) has gone uncontroverted.

In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

21.10.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE