

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Crl.Appeal no. S-4545-SB of 2013(O&M)
Date of Decision : 12.05.2015

Sandeep

....Appellant

Versus

Raj Kumar and others

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present : Mr.Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate for the appellant

MAHESH GROVER, J.

Learned counsel for the appellant impugns the order dated 16.8.2013 by which the accused persons i.e respondents no. 1 to 3 have been acquitted of the charges under Section 306 IPC.

A perusal of the impugned judgment would show that there is no evidence to implicate the respondents. Merely because deceased had taken her life would not imply that her husband or immediate relatives would be responsible unless there is some cogent evidence. Bald statements of the brothers of the deceased do not enhance the case of the appellant. They have stated that deceased was being harassed as she had failed to deliver a child. Allegations of demands of dowry were also made.

The Court would notice that the marriage was 11 years old and prior to that no such allegations or complaints had surfaced. No suicide note was left behind by the deceased which could have given an insight into state of affairs that she was placed in prior to her death. Unfortunate as it may be merely because a death had taken place would not necessarily imply that persons around deceased were responsible for causing the death unless

it was shown from the evidence on record that these persons were in any way responsible for death of the deceased.

Finding no such material, I would concur with the findings recorded by the Trial Court.

No ground to interfere is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

May 12, 2015
rekha

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge